

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,080	09/18/2003	Sung-Yeol Kim	A402-JN	1444
7590 08/03/2005			EXAMINER	
Jerry H. Noh			KAVANAUGH, JOHN T	
Suite 2741 3435 Wilshire B	Blvd		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, CA 90010			3728	
			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>					
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/667,080	KIM, SUNG-YEOL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ted Kavanaugh	3728			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the provision of the communication of the provision of the provis	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fatute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	6 June 2005				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-14 is/are withdrated claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers		·			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupte oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. rection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eation No vived in this National Stage			
2) D Notice 3) D Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/					
Papei	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/667080

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 6-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or

linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on June 6, 2005.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 5, line 15 of the Specification, element "43" can not be found in the figures. Also, support pad has been labeled and describe as element "3" throughout the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is inaccurate because the "support piece" (17) doesn't extend around a wearer's heel as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/667080 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by US 737959 (Posner).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over US 543179 (Sessler).

Sessler teaches a shoe having a pocket on each side, a support piece in each pocket having an ankle support (A) and legs (A4) having a predetermined stiffness necessary to support the ankle bone (see lines 44-46). Sessler doesn't shoe the footwear having a plurality of lace holes extending in two front rows but it old and conventional in the art for footwear to having a plurality of lace holes extending in two front rows. Therefore, to the extent, that Sessler doesn't teach this, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the footwear of Sessler with a plurality of lace holes extending in two front rows, to facilitate closing the footwear around the foot.

8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Sessler or Posner as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Official Notice.

The examiner takes official notice that it is old and conventional in the art to provide footwear cover member (shoe upper) with a support pad in a lower rear portion (such as a heel counter or such) to provide additional support and comfort to the

Art Unit: 3728

wearer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to provide the cover member of either Sessler or Posner with a support pad in a lower rear portion.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 10. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including:
- -"The reply must present arguments pointing out the *specific* distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references."
- --"A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section."
- -Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims. Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06" MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the <u>claims</u>, the specification and the drawings.
- 11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/667080

Art Unit: 3728

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at <u>(571) 273-8300</u> (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner <u>Ted Kavanaugh</u> of Art Unit <u>3728</u> at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Ted Kavanaugh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4556. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM.

Ted Kavanaugh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

TK July 20, 2005